

Peak District National Park Authority

Tel: 01629 816200

Fax: 01629 816310

E-mail: customer.service@peakdistrict.gov.uk

Web: www.peakdistrict.gov.uk

Minicom: 01629 816319

Aldern House . Baslow Road . Bakewell . Derbyshire . DE45 1AE



**PEAK
DISTRICT
NATIONAL
PARK**

Peak District National Park Authority (Leys Lane Prohibition of Mechanically Propelled Vehicles) Traffic Regulation Order 2015

Regulation 14 Decision Notice

National Park Authorities' Traffic Orders (Procedure) (England) Regulations 2007

4 February 2015

The Authority has made a traffic regulation order dated 29 January 2015 that will have the effect of prohibiting use by mechanically propelled vehicles at any time along the route known as Leys Lane. Leys Lane runs from Dale Farm (OS Grid Reference SK 195 722) in a north-north-west and then north-west direction and ends at the point where its direction changes to westerly (OS Grid Reference SK 190 728) a distance of approximately 1000 metres. The route is a Byway Open to All Traffic (part of BOAT 14, Parish of Little Longstone).

Mechanically propelled vehicles on this route have an adverse impact on the ecological and landscape interests, the natural beauty, amenity and recreational and educational value of the area, and the special characteristics of the route. It was therefore considered expedient to make a permanent order prohibiting all mechanically propelled vehicles at all times to meet the Authority's statutory purposes and in the overall public interest.

The Authority considered that it was expedient to make the order for the purposes of:

- preserving or improving the amenities of the area through which the road runs
- conserving or enhancing the natural beauty of the area, or of affording better opportunities for the public to enjoy the amenities of the area, or recreation or the study of nature in the area.

In balancing the duty in s122(1) to secure the expeditious, convenient and safe movement of vehicular and other traffic (including pedestrians) and the factors set out in S122(2) of the Road Traffic Regulation Act (RTRA) 1984, the Authority believes the need to preserve the amenity and conserve the natural beauty of the route outweighs the needs of mechanically propelled vehicular users of the route notwithstanding that such a restriction will affect the expeditious and convenient use of the route by mechanically propelled vehicles. For vehicles seeking to use the affected route as a through-road, there are alternative routes on metalled roads in the area.

Representations objecting to the making of the order were received under Regulation 4 and 7 of the National Park Authorities' Traffic Orders (Procedure) (England) Regulations 2007 ("the Regulations"). The Authority considered these at the meeting of the Audit Resource and Performance Committee on 9 November 2012 and confirmed its decision at a meeting of the Audit Resource and Performance Committee on 7 November 2014 (www.peakdistrict.gov.uk/committees). In accordance with Regulation 14 of the Regulations, the Authority summarises below the objections raised and gives its reasons for not acceding to them.

This Regulation 14 decision notice accompanies the order made on 29 January 2015, the notice of making and the map showing the extent of the proposed restrictions. These may be viewed at www.peakdistrict.gov.uk/vehicles and at Aldern House, Baslow Road, Bakewell, Derbyshire, DE45 1AE from 8.45am to 5pm Monday to Friday (closed Bank and Public Holidays and closed at 3pm on Christmas Eve).

Objection	Response
<p><u>Amenity</u> Objections raised included the importance offered by Leys Lane to enjoy and explore the countryside by a chosen recreational activity and that there was a lack of alternative routes in comparison to those available for other users. Its value for its historic use, as a network link, and as an alternative to using main roads was referred to. Restrictions would impact on the amenity of a group of users, including those reliant on motorised transport for access. The activity was also said to be beneficial to tourism and the local economy.</p>	<p>Leys Lane is an important recreational asset for all users.</p> <p>The Authority is conscious of the limited number of routes available for recreational motor vehicles users as compared to that available for other users in the National Park. The characteristics of this route means that it is valued by many different users yet there is clear evidence of impacts occurring on this area of conservation and amenity interest.</p> <p>Whilst it is recognised that motorised vehicle users, in undertaking their chosen form of recreation, also appreciate the special qualities of the area, the continued use of this area by this mode of transport is adversely affecting those special qualities to a greater extent than other users.</p> <p>In cases where there is a conflict between the NPA's two statutory purposes, greater weight shall be attached to the purpose of conserving and enhancing the natural beauty, wildlife and cultural heritage.</p> <p>The route will still be available for non-motorised use and the proposed TRO will not prevent those with limited mobility using invalid carriages such as trampers. Reasonable access by other means will also be provided for disabled users in accordance with the Authority's ongoing duty.</p> <p>All recreational users are important to the local economy.</p>
<p><u>Natural Beauty</u> Objections considered that the natural beauty, landscape, cultural heritage and habitats and species of the area were largely unaffected by vehicles and that vehicles conserve the historic use of the route. Comments referred to the fact that this is not a quiet, peaceful, unspoilt place and that the impact from vehicular noise is transient and not significant. Tranquillity and the other special qualities of the route and area are subjective.</p>	<p>National Parks were designated on grounds of their scenic value and recreational opportunities.</p> <p>The route is not only a means to access special qualities but also a valued part of those special qualities. The historic nature of the track and its setting in the landscape as well as the variety of natural and cultural heritage features adds to the experience of using the route. The route also gives the opportunity for quiet enjoyment and to experience tranquillity, one of the special qualities that people value most about the Peak District National Park. Noise from motorbikes in particular can carry over large distances</p> <p>Evidence is available to show that environmental damage is occurring as a result of motor vehicle recreation, both directly and indirectly. The impacts on the natural beauty of the National Park, and on its special qualities, are not just confined to the linear routes, but also affect the wider environment. This impact and the anticipation of the presence of motorised users can detract from the experience and enjoyment by other users. Section 5 of the National Parks and Access to the Countryside Act 1949, covering the purpose of understanding and enjoyment of the special qualities of National Parks, suggests a focus on quiet</p>

<p><u>Natural Beauty cont</u></p>	<p>outdoor countryside recreation associated with the wide open spaces, wildness and tranquillity to be found within the National Park. (Defra 2007)</p> <p>Whilst it is recognised that all recreational users have an impact, the requirement to identify those arising from use by mechanically propelled vehicles does not require that the impacts from non-mechanically propelled vehicle users be identified.</p> <p>Natural beauty should not be confused with wilderness. The definition of natural beauty recognises that England has a landscape that is formed through the interaction of man-made and natural processes. It includes the wildlife and cultural heritage of an area as well as its natural features.</p> <p>Tranquillity is more than simply noise; it includes the landscape setting, natural sounds and visual intrusion.</p>
<p><u>Damage</u></p> <p>Objectors considered that works to the route should be appropriate to the character of the route and its surroundings. It was also considered that providing the route remained properly repaired and maintained, vehicle use would have little impact on the condition of the route. Damage was seen as being caused by agricultural use and 4x4s and by water erosion with little impact caused by motorcycles.</p>	<p>The order is not being made on the grounds of preventing damage to the route but instead for reasons relating to amenity and conservation. The NPA is not making the TRO to obviate the duty by the Highway Authority to maintain the route.</p> <p>Maintenance and condition of the route is a separate matter to the reasons for making the order although the state of disrepair of the route and prospective timing and extent of repair can be factors for the NPA to take into account when considering the impact on the route, the natural beauty and amenity of the area and other users.</p> <p>The natural beauty and amenity of the area and of other users is affected by motorised vehicle use on this route. This vehicle use is contributing to the route deterioration and where there is disrepair this detracts from the amenity of the route and area.</p> <p>Derbyshire County Council as the Highway Authority repaired the route in November 2013. The NPA is not the Highway Authority with its attendant responsibilities for maintenance. In the event of damage to a highway and which may or may not be caused by a lack of maintenance, TROs will be made if it is necessary to protect the natural beauty or amenities of the area from the impacts of motorised vehicles.</p>
<p><u>Discrimination</u></p> <p>Objections considered that the enjoyment of other users was being unfairly promoted at the expense of vehicle users who were a minority group with only a small percentage of the rights of way network. Access should be provided for all and even though all recreation users have an</p>	<p>The National Park is for everyone and use of recreational motor vehicles on routes with proven rights is a legitimate activity. The Authority does not have a policy of banning use of these green lanes as a matter of principle, and there are opportunities for recreational motor vehicle users to enjoy the area on other routes by their chosen mode of transport.</p> <p>The Authority will promote opportunities for everyone to</p>

<p><u>Discrimination cont</u> impact only vehicle users were being discriminated against. It was considered that the irresponsible or illegal behaviour of a few was affecting responsible motorised users. Disabled users rely on these routes to access the countryside and regard should be given to human rights and the Equality Act. Concerns were also expressed about prejudice in the decision-making process.</p>	<p>understand and enjoy the National Parks' special qualities in a responsible way but where there is a conflict with the conservation of these special qualities then action will be taken including the use of TROs where appropriate.</p> <p>It is the Authority's view that recreational motor vehicle use needs to be managed on some 'green lanes', and that this may include restrictions on use using the powers granted to NPAs. This is assessed on a case by case basis. In this case, the need to preserve the character and amenity and conserve the natural beauty outweighs the needs of mechanically propelled vehicular users of the route notwithstanding that such a restriction will affect the expeditious and convenient use of the route by mechanically propelled vehicles.</p> <p>The route will still be available for non-motorised use and the proposed TRO will not prevent those with limited mobility using invalid carriages such as trampers. Reasonable access by other means will also be provided for disabled users in accordance with the Authority's ongoing duty.</p> <p>There are also users with other characteristics such as hearing or visual impairment or learning difficulties that might be affected by motorised users on the route. The damage and associated loss of amenity also affects these users of this route.</p> <p>The Authority operates a democratic process via the consultation and the consideration at committee. Decisions are made in an open and transparent way and Members consider all relevant arguments and evidence put before them before making a final decision.</p> <p>The register of members interests are recorded at www.peakdistrict.gov.uk/register-of-members-interests. Members may have personal interests which may not be prejudicial to the decisions taken.</p>
<p><u>Displacement</u> Objections considered that a closure would lead to pressure being placed on other routes and areas leading to increased environmental concerns and conflict between users on these routes. An increase in illegal use may occur which would be difficult to prevent.</p>	<p>The Authority recognises that the closure to vehicles is likely to place additional pressure on other routes. However the matter has become urgent and requires a specific response within the context of the work on other routes. Monitoring to determine the amount of displacement onto other routes will be continued.</p> <p>Illegal use will be monitored and addressed with the Highway Authority with regards to the appropriate selection of barriers and the police in relation to enforcement.</p>
<p><u>User Conflict</u> Objections identified that the majority of users are reasonable and responsible and that vehicle use overall is not high and as compared with agricultural use. Respondents</p>	<p>Leys Lane is an important recreational asset for all users and has value as an educational asset. All users need to act responsibly and courteously in order to reduce the potential for conflict.</p>

<p><u>User Conflict cont</u> considered there to be little conflict and it was only likely to occur as a result of intolerance or a lack of understanding over legitimate use. It was noted that the area has a number of alternative routes for non-motorists who seek to avoid vehicles. Signage and the recent resurfacing should address safety concerns however the proposal would not prevent illegal use which could increase danger to other users.</p>	<p>The nature of the route is such that mechanically propelled vehicles are visually and aurally intrusive over a wide area and there are difficulties in passing and avoiding other users. Government guidance suggests that ‘a level of recreational vehicular use that may be acceptable in other areas will be inappropriate in National Parks and incompatible with their purposes.’ (Ref: Guidance for National Park Authorities making Traffic Regulation Orders under section 22BB Road Traffic Regulation Act 1984, Defra, 2007).</p> <p>The Authority does not accept that it is reasonable to expect non-mechanically propelled users on a Byway Open to All Traffic to go elsewhere to avoid conflict. A BOAT is defined as a highway over which the public have a right of way for vehicular and all other kinds of traffic, but which is used by the public mainly for the purpose for which footpaths and bridleways are so used. There are also alternatives for mechanically propelled users where they do not come into conflict with others to the same extent and, for those seeking to use the affected route as a through-road, there are alternative routes on metalled roads in the area.</p> <p>The Highway Authority has a statutory duty to signpost and waymark public rights of way. The NPA supports them in this duty and, where appropriate, seeks to also provide advisory signs. The route is signposted as a byway. Advisory signage with details of the various route users has been provided on this route but conflict has remained.</p> <p>Illegal use will be monitored and addressed with the Highway Authority with regards to the appropriate selection of barriers and the police in relation to enforcement.</p>
<p><u>Alternatives</u> Suggested alternatives included partial restrictions, a trial period for motorcycles, permit systems, voluntary restraint, speed limits, volunteer working parties, and education for all users. A permanent ban should be a last resort and be subject to review. It was thought that money spent on a TRO would be better spent elsewhere. Working in partnership and the participation of vehicle users in helping find solutions to the problems was emphasised as was a need for effective enforcement on illegal use. Provision of alternative sites and routes were also raised as was a possible exception for electric bikes.</p>	<p>The management of recreational motorised vehicles within the National Park is a high priority work area for the Authority. Members of vehicle user groups are on the Peak District Local Access Forum and on the Green Lanes Forum, informing and advising the NPA.</p> <p>NPA Members are aware that a variety of measures can be used to resolve issues around recreational vehicular use. The consultations undertaken offer the opportunity to suggest alternatives and for them to be considered by Members. All consultation responses have been given due regard. The decision to pursue a different course of action after having regard to all relevant considerations doesn’t negate this.</p> <p>In view of the nature of the route and area and the use by non-MPV users, it is not considered that the impacts could be adequately managed by a more selective TRO or other measures such as a scheme of voluntary restraint. A less restrictive option would not achieve the outcome of protecting the natural beauty and amenity of the area in accordance with the Authority’s obligations in respect of its statutory purposes.</p>

<p><u>Alternatives cont</u></p>	<p>The route will remain a priority and the monitoring, management and review of measures adopted will continue to take place.</p> <p>4-wheeled vehicles have an impact on the route surface and adjacent land by virtue of their width and weight. At certain times on certain sections of the route there may be less impact by motorcycles on the route surface although other impacts remain. The same applies to electric motorcycles although there is scant evidence of these being used within the Peak District National Park on unmetalled roads.</p> <p>The NPA is not the Highway Authority and does not have responsibility for maintenance. The NPA adopts a range of measures to reduce the impact of motorised use, including the use of volunteers where the works are of a nature suitable for volunteering. The NPA recognises that working in partnership with all those involved is conducive to effective management in the long-term for the route network as a whole.</p>
<p><u>Information</u></p> <p>A number of comments were made in relation to the legislation governing the making of TROs, the consultation process, and supporting evidence.</p>	<p>The statement of reasons and the route management reports are there to provide relevant factual information; they do not seek to make a judgment on the final decision to be made. The appendices to the statement of reason set out the different components of natural beauty and the whole is greater than the sum of its parts.</p> <p>The consultations undertaken offer the opportunity for additional matters to be raised and for them to be considered by Members. There is no requirement to identify comparative use and impacts when identifying impacts from mechanically propelled vehicles.</p> <p>The legislation allows for TROs to be made on grounds of natural beauty and amenity and the NPA is the appropriate authority to make the decision on whether this outcome would be met by a restriction.</p> <p>TROs will be considered where appropriate having regard to all relevant considerations at the time including comments provided in response to the consultation undertaken and by undertaking the balancing exercise provided by s122 of the RTRA 1984.</p> <p>TROs are considered on a case by case basis. The Authority's strategic context and actions for the year ahead are available at www.peakdistrict.gov.uk/vehicles.</p> <p>It is recognised that there is a cost (both direct and indirect) of making TROs, but it is considered necessary to take this action in the overall public interest and to meet the obligations of the National Park Authority in respect of its statutory purposes.</p>