

Appendix 6 – Analysis of statement from Stanage Forum Workshop

Investment Option

Statement	Positive	Negative	neutral	raising issue	making recommendation	what is the issue#1?	what is the issue#2?	tally - finance	tally - np purpose	tally - wrong use	tally - management	tally - planning	tally - traff	tall - wider est
Preferred option	1													
Keeping it in-house would provide an opportunity to promote PDNPA principles. It would be a public facing system.	1													
Need to ensure that PDNPA is able to achieve this option			1	1		financial impact on NP purposes		1						
If hall, cottage, barn are all let at the same time what is the combined impact on all the users? This is the best option for the campsite, investment is definitely needed	1	1		1					1					
Question whether it is feasible to refurbish Cattiside Cottage at all, due to its dilapidated state – could a replacement be considered?		1		1		wrong use				1				
Would make a better holiday home than residential			1		1	wrong use				1				
This option would allow it to be opened up to the largest number of people	1													
The National Trust model of letting their properties would be a good example – mix of holiday and public access	1				1	follow NT model					1			

This would refurbish the hall but be sympathetic to its history	1					
Does it need to be open to public for 6 weeks – a few weekends would be fine?		1	1	NP purposes	1	
Should not be and Air B&B system – it needs a more formal letting system		1	1	needs formal letting system		1
Concern that planning issues might preclude use as cottage or residential		1	1	planning		1
This is a better meeting place than the Ranger Station.		1	1	wrong use	1	
Threshing barn is separate to the cruck barn and should be considered separately.		1		wrong use	1	
Cruck Barn should be an education centre with visitor information		1		wrong use	1	
Agree that should have to pay to park anywhere on the estate	1					
Need to implement charges alongside measures to reduce verge parking		1	1	traffic and accessibility		1
Stand up for Stanage scheme should be re-introduced		1		traffic and accessibility		1
Public transport options must be considered		1	1	traffic and accessibility		1
Will people use the car parks if there is a charge?		1	1	traffic and accessibility		1
How will it be enforced?		1	1	traffic and accessibility		1
It has to be a reasonable charge?		1		traffic and accessibility		1
People will walk through the wet sych.	1		1	wider estate		1
Where is the money going? Must go to the estate.		1	1	financial	1	
Need to minimise traffic		1	1	traffic and accessibility		1
Money could be made from the woodlands		1	1	wider estate		1

Need money to maintain

1

1

financial

1

TOTAL

7

3

18

13

10

3

2

5

2

1

7

2

%

25%

11%

64%

46%

36%

TOTAL

14.25

6.107143

36.64286

26.46428571

20.35714286

6

4

10

4

2

14

4

%

18%

8%

47%

34%

26%

Arm's-Length Management Option

	positive	negative	neutral	raising issue	making recommendation	what is the issue #1	tally - manage	tally - NP purposes	tally - finance	tally - wrong use	tally - travel
Statement from workshop											
The Authority would have much less control		1		1		management	1				
It would only benefit the few people who had the lease		1		1		wont deliver np purposes		1			
There would be maintenance issues & possible problems around responsibility		1		1		management	1				
There are costs associated with managing the lease		1		1		financial			1		
Doesn't meet NPA statutory purposes or Stanage Forum vision		1		1		wont deliver np purposes		1			
It is not suitable for an affordable dwelling due to (i) massive investment needed for little return and (ii) the distance from any amenities (C)		1		1		wrong use				1	
Leasing to social housing provider is positive (C)	1										
Should be retained by PDNPA as home for on-site warden otherwise it minimises ranger presence on the estate.			1			wrong use				1	
Positive in that it would give opportunities for diversification.	1										
This does not give the opportunity for heritage weekends, eg could have 2/yr		1				wont deliver np purposes		1			
This option is not in line with the Forum vision for education purposes.		1		1		wont deliver np purposes		1			
Stand up for Stanage scheme should be re-introduced			1		1	traffic & accessibility					1
Public transport options must be considered			1		1	traffic & accessibility					1

Will people use the car parks if there is a charge? How will it be enforced? It has to be a reasonable charge? People will walk through the wet sych. Where is the money going? Must go to the estate.

		1	1		traffic & accessibility					1
TOTAL	2	8	4	8	2	2	4	1	2	3
%	14%	57%	29%	57%	14%					

Reduce Liabilities Option

	positive	negative	neutral	raising issue	making recommendation	what is the issue #1	tally - man	tall - np purpose	tally - finance	tally - wrong use	tally - traffic
Statement from workshop											
Would lead to too much loss of control		1		1		management issues	1				
Option does not move us forward to achieving the Forum's vision		1		1		not deliver NP purposes		1			
Where would the money go from the sale of the cottage?			1	1		finance			1		
Don't want to lose the cottage but if it funds options 1 or 2 then ok.	1										
Should be kept for ranger residence			1		1	wrong use				1	
Stand up for Stanage scheme should be re-introduced			1		1	traffic and travel					1
Public transport options must be considered			1		1	traffic and travel					1
Option to 'leave as it is' is not a good choice			1								
Need to deal with motorhomes			1	1		motorhomes					1
Needs holistic approach, connections to Hathersage and charging for impact on environmental quality			1	1		traffic and travel					1
	1	2	7	5	3						
%	10%	20%	70%	50%	30%		1	1	1	1	4